ADOPTION AND IMPACT OF INTERNATIONAL FINANCIAL REPORTING STANDARDS (IFRS) ON CORPORATE FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Dr Varun Maheshwari, Assistant Professor

Seth G.L.Bihani S.D.(PG) College Sri Ganganagar

ABSTRACT

It was with the intention of bringing about consistency in the vastly different accounting practises that are followed all across the world that the International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS) were formed. In each country, the regulations that regulate accounting are different from one another. The International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS) are already being utilised enterprises throughout the globe, and one hundred nations have either completely accepted it or are mandating its implementation. According to the International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS), all listed organisations, banks, non-bank financial firms (NBFCs), scheduled commercial banks, insurance companies, and other financial institutions in India are required to implement the standards beginning with the 2011 fiscal year. Other countries are taking a more hands-off approach, in contrast to those that are actively seeking to adopt IFRS or have accepted the requirement of accounting standards convergence. These countries are taking a more strategic approach.

Keywords: International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS), Financial Reporting, Comparability

INTRODUCTION

The International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS) are examples of these types of professional organisations. Across the entire world, this pattern may be found everywhere. The number of countries that have committed to permanently incorporating the modifications into their accounting laws is growing, and standard-setting bodies all around the world have formed their own implementation roadmaps for the International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS). When overseas investors make judgements on cross-border investments in a nation that does not they are exposed to an additional threat. Other countries are taking a more hands-off approach, in contrast to those that are actively seeking to adopt IFRS or have accepted the requirement of accounting standards convergence.

These countries are taking a more strategic approach. One possible explanation for this is that there is a lack of comprehension of the significance of IFRS or the complexity of the issues that they face. The following is the agenda for the meeting that India is attending, which is one of the many countries that are participating.

INTERNATIONAL FINANCIAL REPORTING STANDARDS COMPRISE

- For the purpose of describing regulations that were published after the year 2001, the term "International Financial Reporting Standards" (IFRS) is utilized.
- Framework for the Preparation and Presentation of Financial Statements publications that were produced prior to the year 2001 by the Standing Interpretations Committee (SIC)

THE FOLLOWING IFRS STATEMENTS ARE CURRENTLY ISSUED

IFRS 1 This is the first time that the International Financial Reporting Standards have been decided upon and approved.

IFRS 2 Stocks may be used for compensation.

IFRS 3 Merger and acquisition of businesses.

IFRS 4 Insurance policies have contracts.

IFRS 5 Holdings with a Long-Term Aspect Put up for sale, and operations were terminated.

IFRS 6 Discovering and evaluating possible mineral deposits is the process of mineral exploration.

IFRS 7 Financial Instruments Statements are presented here.

IFRS 8 There are Operating Segments.

IFRS 9 The instruments of finance.

At this point in time, the economy of India is a significant actor on the international scene. In a number of sectors, including information technology and telecommunications (ITES), software, pharmaceuticals, and automobile spare parts, Indian companies have surpassed their rivals. India has made the decision to implement the required changes in order to comply with financial reporting in a language that is understandable to all of its stakeholders, both local and international, and to interact with its stakeholders.

This decision was made in order for India to keep its position as the global market leader. A few Indian companies have voluntarily started releasing their financials in line with US GAAP and/or IFRS in response to the requirement. This is a reaction to the fact that the demand has been made. Despite this, it is rapidly becoming an essential need, as opposed to only a recommended. Over the course of the next several years, India will be required to make considerable decisions about the adoption of international accounting standards.

A comprehensive evaluation of the feasibility of developing a uniform set of high-quality international accounting standards, the sort of expenditures required to make that happen, and the question of whether or not this is an overall desirable aim are all things that market participants are expected to evaluate. This discourse is not only necessary for the evolution of financial reporting in the future, but it is also crucial for the health and longevity of the capital markets throughout the globe for the foreseeable future. It is possible that performance measurements that are based on Indian GAAP will need to be reexamined since there is a possibility that the adoption of IFRS will undergo a significant amount of change as a result of valuation considerations. Prior to the implementation of a procedure, it is essential to effectively manage the expectations of both investors and the market.

The need of achieving global accounting harmony has been the subject of a great deal of debate for a considerable amount of time. A group of accountants who had received training came together in 1973 to form the ctive of the purpose of this action was to make these ideas and procedures more consistent with one another and to reduce the likelihood of divergence. For the goal of achieving this objective, the International Accounting Standards Committee (IASC) has discussed and reached a consensus on a set of accounting principles that can be implemented anywhere in the world of accounting. that was tasked with the job of developing these principles.

The findings of study conducted on According to the findings of who analysed financial data from 21 different countries, companies who used IAS/IFRS were able to enhance the quality of their accounting both have been shown to have a favourable impact on financial performance, as assessed by growth potential and profitability, as stated who utilised data from companies of the London Stock Exchange. In a growing corpus of studies, the efficacy of called into doubt. a series of accounting standards that are recognised on a global scale would not be of much use to economies that are both developing and developing. As a result of the fact that global standards would serve as the foundation for the standards, this is the case.

IFRS and Financial Reporting Quality

Swiss businesses who implemented IAS/IFRS in the period of time leading up to its required adoption across Europe had superior accounting quality when they employed disclosure quality rankings provided by respected experts. This was the case particularly when the firms applied the rankings. Studies on value-relevance, such as the ones conducted have come to the same conclusion: German businesses who utilise IAS/IFRS experience an improvement in the value-relevance of their earnings. IAS/IFRS companies are less likely to participate in profit management, to recognise losses sooner, and to utilise accounting indicators that are relevant to value, according to a comparison between local GAAP and IAS/IFRS in countries that was conducted The study was conducted in 21 different nations over the course of 21 years.

There is a possibility that the fact that all of these studies indicate the voluntary adoption of discover that variables like as size, international exposure, ownership dispersion, and initial public offerings (IPOs) have a major influence. The findings suggest that companies who voluntarily accept IAS/IFRS are driven to raise the bar for the honesty and accuracy of their financial reporting on the basis of their decision to adopt these standards. Additionally, according to organisations that have embraced IAS/IFRS have a much larger proportion of ownership in both domestic and international mutual funds. The reason for this might be because these companies made the decision to transition to these standards on their own will in an attempt to entice international investors by providing them with more information in a style that is more conducive to their comfort level.

IFRS and Comparability of Financial Reports

As a result of the widespread implementation of IAS and IFRS, the quality of financial reporting has emerged as a significant challenge. IAS/IFRS or local versions have been accepted by a wide range of jurisdictions, including Russia, Central and Eastern Europe, Australia, Canada, and Hong Kong, amongst others. Africa, the Middle East, and Central and Eastern Europe are some of the other areas that are included. Several South American nations, notably India and Japan, are now discussing whether (IAS/IFRS) throughout their whole or a portion of their economies. Convergence projects have been initiated by a number of other countries, despite the fact that they have not formally has to say.

Challenges of Adopting IFRS

While it is predicted that the adoption of IFRS would increase economic activity between the two countries, it is possible that the benefits may not be distributed evenly across all bilateral exchanges due to certain impediments. The degree to which national GAAP is in line with IFRS prior to adoption is a critical factor in determining whether or not IFRS will be adopted and whether or not it will continue to be relevant. When it comes to the implementation of the new This was done prior to the requirement that listed companies use IAS/IFRS in the year 2005. Based on the findings, the majority of publicly listed companies in the European Union do not intend to harmonise their national GAAP with IAS/IFRS. Furthermore, even after the mandated adoption, these companies may continue to use both sets of books. The key challenges consist of difficulties in implementing some systems of the countries that have been chosen, as well as a lack of assistance from national authorities about how to apply these standards.

That verified the difficulty of adopting IAS/IFRS in the Czech Republic by examining the primary challenges that come with converting to IAS/IFRS reporting, they also validated the complexity of adopting IAS/IFRS reporting. Despite the fact that there are certain areas in which the Czech accounting system is growing increasingly comparable to IAS/IFRS, such as valuation at fair value, the study report underscores the fact that there are also other areas in which the system varies from IAS/IFRS. This is due to the fact that the Czech system is mandated to maintain a separation between tax reporting and financial reporting processes in order to guarantee that the distinct goals of the two systems are satisfied.

OBJEACTIVES

1. The study on the adoption and impact of international financial products and services.
2. The study investigation of the impact of International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS) on corporate financial statements.

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

The research method known as descriptive research is utilised in the study, which contains secondary data that was taken from the deposit bank's annual report and fax books. This information was used to compile the report organisation.

The study populations use the total amount of deposits held by banks that are listed on the stock market as their representative data. A population consisting of all deposit banks plc is included in the research.

As a result of time and cost restrictions, the research is limited to thirty investors of the companies. This was done to guarantee that all investors in deposit bank plc were involved in the discussion.

The utilisation of primary and secondary sources was carried out to a significant degree. The primary data for the research comes from the responses that were given to the questionnaire, while the secondary data is comprised of information that was gathered from various sources.

Model Specification

Timely Loss Recognition

Based on the findings of several studies it has been observed that companies are hesitant to promptly reveal significant losses caused by their operations. Using the model that was first proposed and amended we will estimate the optimal time for loss recognition in order to evaluate the possible influence that IFRS might have on the quality of accounting. When the (IFRS) are used as the reporting standard, there is which indicates that businesses are more likely to post considerable losses. When the coefficients are negative, on the other hand, they demonstrate the complete reverse of what was intended.

$$TLR = \alpha0 + \beta1LNEGit + \beta2SIZEit + \beta3GROWTHit + \beta4EISSUEit + \beta5LEVit + \beta6DISSUEit + \beta7TURNit + \beta8CFit + \beta9AUDit + \varepsilon it$$

Where

LNEG = A single-valued variable that takes the value 1 when the ratio of net income to total assets is less than -0.20 and the value 0 otherwise takes the value 1 in all other circumstances. This variable has a single value.

SIZE = The natural logarithm formula used to describe the market value of stocks and shares

GROWTH = Changes in the proportion of sales.

EISSUE = The percentage change in the number of ordinary shares.

LEV = The total amount of all debts in comparison to the total amount of all equity values.

DISSUE = A change in the total liabilities expressed as a percentage.

TURN = This is the ratio of total assets to total sales.

CF = The fraction of total assets that is represented by operating cash flow.

AUD = The dummy variable is set to 1 for observations made during the post-adoption period, whereas it is set to 0 for observations made before to adoption on the other hand.

DATA ANALYSIS

This chapter summarises the results, interpretation, and implications of the analysis that was carried out using the approach that was explained in the chapters that came before it. Statistical methods of description were used in order to characterise the variables, and regression analysis was utilised in order to test the null hypothesis hypothesis. Statistical Descriptive Statistics When it comes to quantitative research, descriptive statistics are helpful tools that may be used to describe, analyse, and summarise data in order to make it simpler to comprehend and work with. In you will find the complete collection of test and control variable minimums, maximums, means, and standard deviations. You may see these measurements. Every observation on changes scores anywhere from an endlessly negative percentage to an infinitely positive proportion, depending on how the variables are assessed. This is because the variables may be measured in a variety of ways. If you want to be regarded a modest positive profit, the net income divided by the total assets must be between zero and one tenth of a percent; if it is not between those two numbers, then it must be zero. Auditors are subject to the same rules as big loss recognition, which is scored one when the ratio of annual income to total assets is less than -0.02 and zero otherwise. Auditors are also subject to the same regulations as large loss recognition. Companies that have been audited by the Big Four get a score of one, while all other companies receive a score of zero.

Table 1. The statistical descriptions that were in use previous to the establishment of IFRS

	N	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std. Deviation
SIZE	12	16.56	19.58	17.5667	.74568
GROWTH	12	82.34	99.05	88.8850	4.22986
TURN	12	.00	6.60	0.2908	1.43854
CF	12	.00	.03	.0025	.00835
TLR	12	.00	1.00	.8333	.38925
Valid N (listwise)	12				

Table 2. descriptions of statistics after the application of IFRS as a standard

	N	Minimum	Maximum	Mean	Std. Deviation
SIZE	12	18.56	21.58	19.5667	.88113
GROWTH	12	85.34	112.05	99.8850	6.22986
TURN	12	.00	9.60	2.2908	3.43854
CF	12	.02	.04	.0283	.00866
TLR	12	.00	1.00	.8333	.38925
Valid N (listwise) 12					

We can see that the size of money deposit banks in rose after adoption, with minimum and highest values of 18.56, 21.58, 19.5667, and 0.88113 during the post adoption period, respectively. This is based on the data that is shown in Tables 4.1a and 4.1b. When compared to the values that existed before to the introduction of this policy, which were 16.56, 19.58, 17.5667, and 0.74568, respectively, this is an improvement. Furthermore, when looking at the quicker growth in the post-adoption period. In addition, the descriptive analysis indicates that, previous to the implementation of the change, turnover, which is defined as sales expressed as a percentage of total assets, cash flow.

Regression Analysis

With the help of a modified version of the model that was established by Barth et al. (2008), the purpose of this study was to determine whether or not the introduction of IFRS has an effect on the speed with which losses are recognised. The application of regression analysis was the means by which this objective was attained. The coefficient of reported large negative net income (LNEG) and the projected duration for loss recognition are both shown in Table 4.2a. Both of these figures are presented in the table. There would be an improvement in both of these outcomes if the reporting of financial information were to adhere to the International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS).

An examination of the elements that were expected, including as size, growth, cash flow, adoption of IFRS, and turnover. Apparently, the financial institutions in that received deposits of money did not adequately account for any losses that occurred. The predictive variables of the research, on the other hand, can only account for one third of the variance in the fast loss detection seen by businesses. The remaining 66.2% of the difference may be explained by characteristics that were not evaluated at the time of evaluation. On the other hand, when looking at the period following adoption, money deposit banks that employed IFRS as an independent variable were more likely to identify losses quickly. This was institutions that deal with money deposits in.

The findings of the control variables, there is a significant relationship between the size of the money deposit banks in and the rate at which they acknowledge losses. In the meanwhile, there is a negative and insignificant link between growth and rapid loss recognition, which suggests that the growth rate of money deposit institutions in is at a relatively low level. Given that turnover has a positive and strong correlation with loss recognition, it would seem that the amount of losses that are recorded on time in money deposit institutions would increase in proportion to the amount of turnover that is present in such institutions. the timely recognition of losses. This correlation seems to be beneficial. On the other hand, this indicates that the identification of loss in a timely way is increased in proportion to the size of the operating cash flow. Finally, but certainly not least, the predictive factors that were investigated in this research are responsible for 62.3% of the variance in the company's rapid loss recognition. The remaining 37.7% of the variation may be attributed to other variables that were not examined during the time. Put Hypotheses to the Test.

CONCLUSION

The primary purpose of this research is to evaluate the degree to which the accounting quality of money deposit banks that are affiliated with the stock market has altered after the implementation of IFRS. Through the use of timely loss recognition, the study endeavours to assess the quality of accounting. According to the findings of the analysis of the sample study, the implementation of IFRS had a substantial influence on the quality of accounting in. In a broad sense, the data undermine the validity of the null hypothesis. Because they are accurate and trustworthy, they are designed to provide decision makers with information that they can rely on having confidence in. As a consequence of this, it is necessary that the reporting of financial information be trustworthy and precise ratios of the studied institutions.

REFERENCES

1. Anderson, R. C., Mansi, S. A., & Reab, D. M. (2004). Board characteristics, accounting reporting integrity and the cost of debt. Journal of accounting and economics, 37(1), 315-342.

2. Arnstrong, C. S., Barth, M. E, Jagolinzer, E. J., & Riedl, E. J. (2010). Market Reaction to the Adoption of IFRS in Europe. The Accounting Review, 85(1), 31-61.

3. Baer, K. H. , Kang, J. K., & Wong, J. (2011). Employee treatment and firm leverage: a test of the stakeholder theory of capital structure. Journal of financial economics ,100(1), 130-153.

4. Ball, R. (2006), "International financial reporting standards (IFRS): pros and cons for investors". Accounting and Business Research, 36, 5-27.

5. Ball, R., & Shivakumar, L. (2006). The role of accruals in asymmetrically timely gain and loss recognition. Journal of Accounting Research,44(2), 207–242. Retrieved from

6. Ball, R., Kothari, S. P., Robin, A., & Ball, R. (2006). International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS): pros and cons for investors. Accounting and Business Research, 100(1), 130-153.

7. Ball, R., Robin, A., & Wu, J. S. (2003). Incentives versus standards: properties of accounting income in four East Asian countries. Journal of Accounting and Economics, 36(1-3), 235-270.

8. Barde, I. M. (2009). An evaluation of accounting information disclosure in the oil marketing industry (Unpublished Doctoral Thesis) Bayero University, Kano.

9. Barth, M. E., Beaver, W. H., & Landsman, W. R. (2001). Therelevance of the value relevance literature for financial accounting standard setting : another view. Journal of Accounting and Economics, 31, 77-104.

10. Barth, M. E., Landsman, W. R., & Lang, M. H. (2008). International Accounting Standards and Accounting Quality. Journal of Accounting Research, 46(3), 467-498.

11. Barth, M. E., Landsman, W. R., Lang, M., & Williams, C. (2012). Are IFRS-based and US GAAP-based accounting amounts comparable? Journal of Accounting and Economics, 54(1), 68-93. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacceco.2012.03.001

12. Barth, M. E., Landsman, W. R., & Lang, M. H. (2008). "International accounting standards and accounting quality". Journal of Accounting Research, 46(3), 467-498.

13. Beaver, H. (2002). Perspective on Recent Capital Research. The Accounting Review, 77(2), 453-474.

14. Beekas, W, Pope, P., & Young, S. (2004). The link between earnings management and timeliness of earnings convertisms, and boards composition: Evidence from U.K corporate governance. An international review, 12, 14-59.

15. Belkaoui, A. H. (2004). Accounting Theory (5th ed.). London: Thomson learning.

16. Bova, F., & Pereira, R. (2012). The Determinants and Consequences of Heterogeneous IFRS Compliance Levels Following Mandatory IFRS Adoption: Evidence from a Developing Country. Journal of International Accounting Research, 11(1), 83-111.

17. Biddle, G., Carsberg, S. B., Collins, D., & Zimmerman, J. (2000). The effect of international institutional factors on properties of accounting earnings (correction). Journal of Financial Economics, 29, 1-51.

18. Bova, F., & Pereira, R. (2012), "The determinants and consequences of heterogeneous IFRS compliance levels following mandatory IFRS adoption: evidence from a developing country". Journal of International Accounting Research, 11(1), 83-111.

19. Capkun, V., Collins, D., & Jeanjean, T. (2013). The Effect of IAS/IFRS Adoption on Earning Management (Smoothing): A Closer Look at Competing Explanations. Management (Smoothing): A. 10(3), 1-67.

20. Chen, Y., & Rezaee, Z. (2012). The role of corporate governance in convergence with IFRS: evidence from China. International Journal of Accounting and Information Management, 20(2), 171-188.